

## Lec 5: Survival Analysis & Cox Model

---

Yanjin Han

---

Oct. 1, 2024

---



## Life table.

Example dataset of an insurance company, where:

- $n_i$ : # of policy holders at age  $i$
- $d_i$ : # of deaths

| Age      | $n$      | $d$      |
|----------|----------|----------|
| 30       | $n_{30}$ | $d_{30}$ |
| 31       | $n_{31}$ | $d_{31}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 89       | $n_{89}$ | $d_{89}$ |

Target: estimate the "survival function"  $S_i = P(X \geq i)$

Notations:

- $X$ : a typical lifetime of a population (random variable)
- $S_i = P(X \geq i)$ : survival function
- $h_i$ : hazard rate, defined as

$$h_i = \frac{P(X=i)}{P(X \geq i)} = \frac{S_i - S_{i+1}}{S_i}.$$

## MLE.

Model: for  $i \in \{30, \dots, 89\}$ , a sample of size  $n_i$  is drawn from a conditional population with  $X \geq i$ , where  $d_i$  of them die within one year.

$$\text{Log-likelihood: } \ell(h_{30}, \dots, h_{89}) = \sum_{i=30}^{89} \left( d_i \log h_i + (n_i - d_i) \log(1 - h_i) \right)$$

$$\text{MLE for } h_i: \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial h_i} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{d_i}{\hat{h}_i} - \frac{n_i - d_i}{1 - \hat{h}_i} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{h}_i = \frac{d_i}{n_i}$$

MLE for  $S_i$ :  $\hat{S}_i = \prod_{j=30}^{i-1} (1 - \hat{h}_j)$ .

Similarly, for  $i < j$ , one can estimate

$$\hat{P}(X \geq j | X \geq i) = \prod_{k=i}^{j-1} (1 - \hat{h}_k).$$

One year's data suffices to learn the survival functions

### Censored data.

An example survival data after a clinical trial:

Transform into a lifetable;

|                                      | days  | $n$   | $d$   | $l$   |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $\{ 64, 73+, 160, 160, 185+,$        | $t_1$ | $n_1$ | $d_1$ | $l_1$ |
| $1101, 1412+, \dots \}$              | $t_2$ | $n_2$ | $d_2$ | $l_2$ |
| ( $a+$ : still alive after $a$ days) | $:$   | $:$   | $:$   | $:$   |
|                                      | $t_m$ | $n_m$ | $d_m$ | $l_m$ |

Notations:

- $d_i$ : # of observed deaths at day  $t_i$  after the trial
- $l_i$ : # of **lost followups** at day  $t_i$  after the trial
- $n_i$ : # of **individuals known to have survived** at the beginning of day  $t_i$

$$n_i = \sum_{j \geq i} (d_j + l_j)$$


(for convenience, we assume that deaths & lost followups cannot happen simultaneously; i.e. for every  $i$ , either  $d_i = 0$ , or  $l_i = 0$ )

Target: estimate the survival function  $S(t) = P(X \geq t)$

## Kaplan - Meier estimator.

$$\hat{h}_i = \hat{P}(X = t_i | X \geq t_i) = \frac{d_i}{n_i}$$
$$\hat{S}(t) = \prod_{i: t_i \leq t} (1 - \hat{h}_i) = \prod_{i: t_i \leq t} \left(1 - \frac{d_i}{n_i}\right)$$

A Kaplan - Meier survival curve:



## Derivation: empirical likelihood.

Let  $f$  be the pdf/pmf of  $X$ , and  $S(t) = P(X \geq t)$

$$\log\text{-likelihood}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( d_i \log(f(t_i)) + l_i \log(S(t_i)) \right)$$

$\uparrow$  likelihood of dying at  $t_i$        $\uparrow$  likelihood of surviving until  $t_i$

(An implicit assumption: probability of getting censored does not depend on the survival function)

Maximum value of  $f(t_i)$  given  $S$ :  $f(t_i) \leq S(t_i) - S(t_{i+1})$

Empirical likelihood: assume that  $S$  has jumps only at  $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$

$$\text{empirical log-likelihood}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i \log(S_i - S_{i+1}) + \ell_i \log S_i)$$

(Write  $S_i := S(t_i)$ )

Maximizing over  $1 = S_1 \geq S_2 \geq \dots \geq S_{m+1} \geq 0$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$  Maximizing over  $h_1, \dots, h_m \in [0, 1]$ , where

$$h_i = 1 - \frac{S_{i+1}}{S_i} \Leftrightarrow S_i = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - h_j).$$

Now empirical log-likelihood( $h$ )

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i \log(h_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - h_j)) + \ell_i \log \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - h_j)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i \log h_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (d_i + \ell_i) \log(1 - h_j)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i \log h_i + \sum_{k \geq i} (d_k + \ell_k) \log(1 - h_i)) \\ &\quad \text{(swapping the sum } \sum_i \sum_{j < i} = \sum_j \sum_{i \geq j} \text{)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i \log h_i + (n_i - d_i - \ell_i) \log(1 - h_i)) \\ &\quad \text{(recall that } n_i = \sum_{k \geq i} (d_k + \ell_k) \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{F.O.C. for } h_i \Rightarrow \hat{h}_i = \frac{d_i}{n_i - \ell_i} = \frac{d_i}{n_i}$$

(because either  $d_i = 0$ , or  $\ell_i = 0$ )

$$\Rightarrow \hat{S}_i = \prod_{j < i} (1 - \hat{h}_j) = \prod_{j < i} (1 - \frac{d_j}{n_j})$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{S}(t) = \max_{i: t_i \leq t} \hat{S}_{i+1} = \prod_{i: t_i \leq t} (1 - \frac{d_i}{n_i}).$$

Note: empirical likelihood is a special case of the nonparametric maximum likelihood (NPMLE).

## Proportional hazards model (Cox model)

Question: what if different individuals have different features?

Data: a collection of  $\{(t_i, \Delta_i, x_i)\}$  with hidden  $\{(d_i, c_i)\}$ :

- $d_i$ : lifetime of individual  $i$
- $c_i$ : **censored time** of individual  $i$
- $t_i = \min\{c_i, d_i\}$ : death/censored time, whichever is earlier  
(right censoring)
- $\Delta_i = 1(d_i \leq c_i)$ . 1 if not censored, 0 if censored  
(true death)
- $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ : feature vector of individual  $i$ .

## Continuous-time hazard rate

$$h(t) = \text{density of } (X=t | X \geq t) = \frac{f(t)}{S(t)} \quad \leftarrow \text{unconditional density of } X$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \log S(t) = \frac{S'(t)}{S(t)} = - \frac{f(t)}{S(t)} = -h(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow S(t) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t h(s) ds\right).$$

## Proportional hazards model

$$h(t|x) = e^{\beta^T x} \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{baseline hazard}}}{h(t)}$$

$$\log \text{ ratio: } \log \frac{h(t|x_1)}{h(t|x_2)} = \beta^T (x_1 - x_2)$$

Target: estimate  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

## Partial likelihood

The Cox model is solved by maximizing the following partial likelihood,

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i: \Delta_i = 1} \left( \frac{e^{x_i^T \beta}}{\sum_{j \in R_i} e^{x_j^T \beta}} \right)$$

where :

- $\{i: \Delta_i = 1\}$  represents the occurrences of true deaths
- $R_i$ : the set of individuals **at risk** when  $i$  dies, i.e.  
 $R_i = \{j: t_j \geq t_i\}$
- each term represents the probability of " $i$  first dies among all individuals in the risk set  $R_i$ "
- no baseline hazard  $h(t)$  in partial likelihood

## Derivation: profile likelihood

Complete likelihood

$$L(\beta, h) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n \begin{cases} S(t_i | x_i) h(t_i | x_i), & \text{if } \Delta_i = 1 \\ S(t_i | x_i), & \text{if } \Delta_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

$\uparrow$  target       $\uparrow$  nuisance

(Implicit assumption:  $c_i$  and  $d_i$  are independent  
conditioning on  $x_i$ )

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \exp(-e^{x_i^T \beta} H(t_i)) (e^{x_i^T \beta} h(t_i))^{\Delta_i} \right]$$

$$(H(t) := \int_0^t h(s) ds)$$

## Profile likelihood

$$pL(\beta) = \sup_h L(\beta, h)$$

Computation of profile likelihood in Cox model:

- Using empirical likelihood to assume that  $h$  is supported on  $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  &  $H(t_i) = \sum_{j: t_j \leq t_i} h(t_j)$

$$\Rightarrow L(\beta, h) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \exp(-e^{x_i^T \beta} \sum_{j: t_j \leq t_i} h(t_j)) (e^{x_i^T \beta} h(t_i))^{\Delta_i} \right]$$

- F.O.C. for  $h(t_i)$ :

$$\frac{\partial(\log L)}{\partial h(t_i)} = \frac{\Delta_i}{h(t_i)} - \sum_{k: t_k \geq t_i} e^{x_k^T \beta} \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } h(t_i) > 0 \\ \leq 0 & \text{if } h(t_i) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow h(t_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \Delta_i = 0 \\ \left( \sum_{k: t_k \geq t_i} e^{x_k^T \beta} \right)^{-1} & \text{if } \Delta_i = 1 \end{cases}$$

- A crucial identity: for above  $h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left(-e^{x_i^T \beta} \sum_{j: t_j \leq t_i} h(t_j)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n e^{x_i^T \beta} \sum_{j: t_j \leq t_i} \frac{\Delta_j}{\sum_{k: t_k \geq t_i} e^{x_k^T \beta}}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_j \underbrace{\sum_{i: t_i \geq t_j} \frac{e^{x_i^T \beta}}{\sum_{k: t_k \geq t_i} e^{x_k^T \beta}}}_{=1}\right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^{\Delta_j} \end{aligned}$$



4. Plug back to  $L(\beta, h)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} pL(\beta) &= \sup_h L(\beta, h) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{e} \frac{e^{x_i^T \beta}}{\sum_{k: t_k \geq t_i} e^{x_k^T \beta}} \right)^{\Delta_i} \\ &\propto \prod_{i: \Delta_i=1} \left( \frac{e^{x_i^T \beta}}{\sum_{k \in R_i} e^{x_k^T \beta}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

agreeing with the partial likelihood.